3

4

5



6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24 25

26

27 28

30

31

29

32

33

34

35 36

MINUTES Oakley City Planning Commission

Regular Meeting October 2, 2024 6:00 p.m. Zoom Meeting Platform was available

AGENDA

- 1. Open Meeting.
- 2. Public Comment:

*Public comment is for any matter not on the agenda and not the subject of a pending land use application. If you would like to submit comments to Commission, please email stephanie@oakleycity.com

- 3. Possible Action: Approval of meeting minutes from 9.4.2024
- 4. Conceptual Design Discussion. Presentation of proposal for a group home type facility for autistic young adults. Proposed location is OT-400-219 (approximately 1400 W. SR 32). Discussion amongst Planning Commission.
- 5. Public Hearing and Possible Action: Preliminary Plat for Oakley 1886 Subdivision. The applicant wishes to create the Oakley 1886 Subdivision on cumulative 62.47 acres. Subdivision consists of 13 lots. 10 lots from the parcel zoning (8 lots in A5 and 2 lots of record in A40), 2 lots from Quest Trail Agreement (Entry No. 01202284) and 1 lot (Lot 13 keeping existing home as an affordable housing unit).
- 6. Planner Items:
- 7. Adjourn

1. Call to order and roll call:

MINUTES

- a) Planning Commission: Chairman Cliff Goldthorpe; Commission Members: Kent Woolstenhulme, Doug Evans, Richard Bliss, Steve Maynes, Jan Manning
- b) City Staff: Stephanie Woolstenhulme, City Planner; Tristin Leavitt, City Treasurer

- c) Other: David Kitchen, Jan Perkins, Wes Frazier, Sheri Frazier, Joyce Clark, Ladene Atkinson, Kris Kellogg, Alan Richards, Kelly Jacobs, Craig Jacobs, Teana Lazenby, Tom Lazenby, Roger Gellersen, Olaya Gellersen, Josh Call, Cathy Gettman, Dave Diehl, Todd Crowther, Melissa McArthur, Ryan McArthur, Angie Thomas Zoom: Sheri, Amy, Fox 13, Cynthia Crofts, Kresta Richardson, Colton Farnsworth, Annie Crowther
- 2. **Public Comment:** Public comment is for any matter not on the agenda and not the subject of a pending land use application.

Cliff Goldthorpe shares the following:

"Oakley City shares in the heartache of our community regarding the possible change in business operations and the impact on the residents, families, and employees of Elk Meadows. The sale of the Elk Meadows property is a private transaction and as such current ownership has the right to sell the property without input from the City. Under current zoning, the proposed business is allowable with a conditional use permit. The current business, Elk Meadows operates under such permit. State law dictates that this pemit does not expire or cease validity with the sale of the property. Under the advisement of City Legal Counsel, the application is being processed as a minor amendment to the existing conditional use permit. This is an administrative action where only reasonable conditions can be imposed. The City is working diligently to adhere to municipal, state, and federal regulations regarding this application. We are mindful of the vested public interest and thank you for sharing your thoughtful concerns.

Joyce Clark (Weber Canyon) – She intends on opening her home for drug rehabilitation. She has a body broker lined up to find people who are addicted to drugs. Once it starts and everyone sees the beauty of Weber Canyon, it will become another rehab riviera. She moved here to escape this kind of enviorment. It can be called Rocky Mountain Rehab and join the other 10 states that have more beds then they need for rehabilitation.

David Kitchen (Oakley) – His attorney sent a letter to the city explaining the City Code that they feel is not being followed. In the permit request to amend the current business license, they are requesting it to go from a 42 bed to 56 bed. Perfect example of a density increase. There will be a pre-school next door within 100 feet. Per State Law in the Drug Free Zone Act, a drug rehabilitation center cannot be within 100 feet of a city park, church, or school. By voting on this and allowing it to be a minor ammendment we are potentially losing a school across the street. He would like to see the city take on additional counsel to understand the laws more properly. It is crucial as citizens and elected officials to follow our laws. Would like to know if his K9 business moved in there, would the city require a minor amendment, major amendment, or a new permit. In City Code, Elk Meadows is currently registered as a residential care facility. The new drug rehabilitation center should be classified as a residential treament facility. Feels it is a major amendment or a brand new application for permitting. None of us have the right to get invloved in a private sale. What they want is for the city to follow their laws so they can receive the proper representation. Three years ago the sheriffs department was called because he was receiving death threats

 and it took them over 30 minutes to arrive. Seek new legal counsel. Asking Planning Commission to reconsider.

Kris Kellogg (Weber Canyon) - Been here for about 30 years. Not against the drug rehab facility, but why at the expense of the old folks home. Why is the city so willing to do this so close to our park and school district property. Brought to her attention yesterday that the city will be getting 1% from each individual who enters the rehab facility. Tax write off the city will get and other government funding that will come to the city. Does not understand why, as voted officials, they are not doing more to protect the community. Feels it is convenient that the Mayor is not here again and his parents lived and died in Elk Meadows. Would he push this through if his parents were still in there? They will fight this. It will be a very long process if the city doesn't do the right thing by their community that voted them in. She made a call into the Wasatch County Sheriff's Department. The Sheriff gauranteed her that drugs would be brought into the community. A petition was started and they have hundreds of signatures on it and once this gets approved they will appeal it. The parcel of land that sits west of Elk Meadows is also part of this deal. The seller told them that Chateau Recovery plans to use that as parking. The amount of people coming into the facility again 42 to 56. County law states that you can't have a certain amount of people per square foot. She wants to give the city enough reasons to legally not do this permit.

Ladene Atkinson resident at Elk Meadows – Been there for 3 ½ and it is her home. She has been in Francis for 70 years. She is broken-hearted that she may have to pick-up and leave. Hoping and praying that the city will think about this and how sad they are.

Catherine Gettman niece of Hazel Fellar – Her aunt is in Elk Meadows. If she would have to be moved, it would be 100 miles round trip for her to go visit. Her aunt is a fall risk and was told by doctors that she needed to be in assisted living. Her aunt is happy where she is at and doesn't understand what is happening. If something that happened on the east coast happened here(hurricane in North Caroline,) she wouldn't have time to get to her. Her aunt loves the food at Elk Meadows, her friend Ludene, and her little chair.

Roger Gellersen (Kamas) — mother and father came to this country because they loved the opportunity that this country was going to provide. Father passed away and mother raised him. He is a first responder for 35 years in this county. He understands drug addiction. He has lost fellow co-workers to drugs, alcohol, suicide. Mother has been a resident at Elk Meadows for 7 years. They all ask that the decision is made for the right reasons. Dollars and cents at some point mean nothing. The community needs to come together and support each other. If she survives the move, she will be 45 minutes to an hour away.

Jan Perkins (Oakley) – Been in Oakley for 21 years. Wondering if Oakley City will take the time to check-in to Planning Commission records around 2003-2004. Before Elk Meadows was built, it was proposed to be a rehab center and it was turned down. Feels it would be very prudent to look into that history and find out why it was turned down. If it is reestablished as a rehab center, she feels the city will be moving backwards. Recently lost her mother and feels it would be exceptionaly cruel and inhumane to move senior citizens out of their homes. They have done it once moving to Elk Meadows.

Oakley Planning Commission **Meeting Minutes** 131 Sheri Frazier Marion – Shares that she has a lot of family in Oakley. Also shares that 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 well with a rehab center across the street. 141 142 Melissa McArthur Kamas - Father lives at Elk Meadows. He is a veteran of foreign war. He 143 served in Korea. Heard a lot how the new rehab is for veterans, but there are a lot of 144 veterans at Elk Meadows. She is hearing that the city can stop it and also that the city can't 145 stop it. She is confused. How can she not take it personal? Heard at first that there isn't 146 money involved and now hearing that money is involved. Only wants to know the truth. She 147 just wants to be able to understand and if the city can help, please do, and if not, just be 148 honest and do what is right. 149 150 151 152 153 happening. 154 155 3. Possible Action: Approval of meeting minutes from 9.4.2024 156 157 158 **Kent Woolstenhulme** seconds the motion. 159 All in favor. 160

161

162

163

164 165

166

167 168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

everyone has been voted in and represents the community, valley, and city. Wants to know why rehab would be allowed to kick out the vulnerable elderly people living at Elk Meadows. Feels there are two State of Utah codes that are being broken. South Summit School District should be representing themselves. Wants us to consider ourselves and our family. She stands representing the residents of Elk Meadows. She was told a year ago that there is not enough police force in Summit County. One officer on duty every 24 hours. Feels we are already lacking in resources. Wants the city to follow their own mission, values, and vision. July 4th weekend that is advertised as the greatest and the city is well known for, won't fit

Cynthia Crofts (zoom) - Volunteers at Elk Meadows. She asks that everyone take a day and go volunteer and see how much of a family they are at Elk Meadows. Feels it is a tragedy to treat elderly with any less respect than they deserve and she thinks that's what is

Richard Bliss makes a motion to approve the minutes from 9.4.2024.

4. Conceptual Design Discussion. Presentation of proposal for a group home type facility for autistic young adults. Proposed location is OT-400-219 (approximately 1400 W. SR 32). Discussion amongst Planning Commission.

Pete Gillwald a landscape architect with Land Solutions presents the following concept for the 40-acre parcel that is directly west of the LDS Stake House:

- Looking to create a campus for folks with autism.
- The owner has an autistic child and looking to set up a home for a number of autistic children.
- Under current zoning of the property, it would be a Conditional Use Permit dependent upon the business structure of the project.
- Group home for the autistic children, barn for recreation and meeting space, smaller homes would be for employees or older autistic patients who are ready to move out of the main house and live independently.
- Holding a 200 foot setback from the highway as an open space buffer.

220

221

177		 Approximately 4 acres of the site would be used for the campus itself, leaving
178		around 36 acres of open space that would continue to be hayed by the neighbor
179		that currently hays it now.
180		Possible trail connections
181		 Looking at geo thermal and solar as to lessen impact on utilities
182		
183		William Winstead who is the broker with Christie's International Real Estate presents the
184		following business structure:
185		
186		It will be an LLC
187		 The people involed in this have schools for autistic children with over 250
188		students.
189		Non-profit
190		Each family invests in the LLC
191		 Monthly fees to cover expenses
192		Administrators
193		 Set up similar to a school campus
194		 Kids can go work out in the community – not a detriment to society.
195		 Services take them to and from their job
196		 This parcel has the opportunity to have 8 home sites – this proposal will
197		minimize the impact to this particular piece of property.
198		
199		Doug Evans asks how long people will stay at the facility? William Winstead answers that
200		the kids will come and live in the main home and then as they get older they may move out
201		to one of the smaller homes. When one child leaves another child comes in. They could be
202		in there until they pass away. This type of place will allow these kids to have structure.
203		
204		Chairman Cliff Goldthorpe asks if there is potential for growth past what is being presented.
205		William Winstead answers that if there is, they would have to come back and ask for that.
206		They are not looking at doing the entire 40 acres in housing.
207		
208		Chairman Cliff Goldthorpe mentions that if this were to grow, there would have to be a plan
209		in place before it even started. Planner Stephanie Woolstenhulme shares that it is
210		allowable on this property and at bare minimum it would have a Conditional Use Permit
211		which could have conditions placed on it pertaining to potential future growth.
212		
213		Planning Commission likes the concept and would like to see more material and educational
214		information on this proposed project.
215		
216	5.	Public Hearing and Possible Action: Preliminary Plat for Oakley 1886 Subdivision. The
217		applicant wishes to create the Oakley 1886 Subdivision on cumulative 62.47 acres.
218		Subdivision consists of 13 lots. 10 lots from the parcel zoning (8 lots in A5 and 2 lots of

record in A40), 2 lots from Quest Trail Agreement (Entry No. 01202284) and 1 lot (Lot 13 -

keeping existing home as an affordable housing unit).

268

222 Planner Stephanie Woolstenhulme shares that the Planning Commission initially saw this 223 conceptual design back in August. Things have been fine-tuned since then and now they are 224 back before them for this Public Hearing. 225 226 The following is reviewed from the Staff Report: 227 228 Proposal 229 Findings of Fact 230 Conclusions of Law 231 **City Engineer Comments** 232 **City Public Works Comments** 233 Items of Consideration/Discussion 234 235 Some general discussion amongst Planning Commission regarding the affordable housing 236 aspect as well as the access to the affordable housing unit. 237 238 Josh Call with Rimrock Engineering & Development presents the following subdivision 239 proposal to Planning Commission: 240 241 Lot 13 does have historic access - Currently has access through shared road right 242 now, but does not want them to have any obligations to maintain the roadway for 243 the rest of the subdivision. 244 Cul-de-sac has been moved forward – better for public safety and keeps it further 245 away from the river corridor. 246 Shows an 8" water line – on board with bringing the water line down any property 247 line that makes sense and stubbing it. 248 Shared driveway that will access the two larger lots – approved by fire marshal 249 Hydrant will be at the end of the shared driveway 250 Limits of disturbance for the two buildings by the river will be on the final plat 251 pending the wetland investigation and will be congurent with the hydrant access. 252 All will be on septic and natural gas 253 Currently this subdivision will be gated, but will be compliant with all applicable fire 254 codes - siren activated gate. 255 4" pressure irrigation line that is metered at each connection 256 26' wide roadway 257 Intent is to save the trees – dig them up, wrap them, and replant them as soon as 258 possible. 259 One subdivision, two applicants – as per their attorney, it can be done that way 260 without forming an LLC. 261 Lower lots – working with BioWest who are doing the delineation report. 262 Connection to trails is undecided right now. 263 Water line will be located in an access easement. 264 Plat shows 50' shared driveway, ingress/egress, & 10' Public Utility Easements 265 around each property. 266 Secondary pressurized system running down the Public Utiltity Easement.

Subdividers are the builders

Renderings will be shown to the Planning Commission

Current plat has a 1.5 acre limit of disturbance inside each of the lots

Planner Stephanie Woolstenhulme shares that the affordable housing obligation home has it's own things that will need to be included in a Development Agreement. If the water line is able to be looped, that would be ideal for anyone on that line or anyone who would hook on to it in the future which is part of the negotiation process. The secondary access onto Cottonwood will be part of it as well.

Doug Evans asks if they need a secondary access. He doesn't like the access road between lots 5 & 6. It cuts along the bank and would be expensive. The water line would be more usable if put on the south side of lot 4. **Josh Call** answers that the fire marshal would approve it without a secondary access because of the potential future for the stub and the 100 foot turnaround on the cul-de-sac. They would also be happy to put the water line between lots 4 & 5.

Chairman Cliff Goldthorpe opens up the Public Hearing for comment.

Jan Perkins 1190 W Steven's Lane – As a member of the public would like more context as to where future developments are being proposed. Last she heard Oakley City wanted the density kept to the city core. This development is not sustainable in our future. She encourages the owners/developers to look into cluster developments. Feels it is a super steril design. Hopes they will be required to have ADU's for each parcel due to the affordable housing shortage. Concerned about the amount of traffic generated from 13 parcels when Weber Canyon Road is already treated like a freeway from most drivers. Does this really benefit or enhance our community or just the developer? If this has an historic name, then they should have an historic design to the homes.

Todd Crowther 5400 N New Lane – Likes to see the limited disturbances, hopes that the Planning Commission values the Dark Sky Compliance and has teeth in that. The lighting concerns him the most and keeping the open space. Trusts that Wes Harwood will build a good product.

Chairman Cliff Goldthorpe closes the Public Hearing.

Doug Evans shares the following:

- City Water Rules and Regulations on the plat including the water wise and landscaping standards.
- Transferring water rights to the city
- Letter from the Fire District approving the circle, the access, the driveway to lots 6 & 7.
- The current fences on the Bureau of Reclamation piece are not in the right place.
- Fencing that meets agricultural standards. No privacy or vinyl fences.
- Show on the plat the fence line and the existing gate at the bottom of lot 7 that leads to the public trail easement parcel. Label it on the plat as for public trail access.
- Public Utility Easements on the properties

316	 Show the South Bench Ditch pipleline easement on the plat
317	 Provide a wetland delineation report by a company that is approved by the Army
318	Corps.
319	 Show sensitive lands area boundary on the lower lots – Development on lots 6 & 7
320	the limits of disturbance need to be as far north as possible to be away from the
321	sensitive lands.
322	May need an advanced septic system on lots 6 & 7.
323	 Storm drainage needs to go into infiltration along the road and in the ground.
324	Private trail easement will need to be on the plat
325	Trees on the road are sacred
326	 Work with Wally Larsen on the irrigation
327	 Pump house for the irrigation system – pump can get very loud, might want to
328	enclose in a shed.
329	 Dark Sky Ordinance – All lighting has to be pointing to the ground. Get the calvin
330	down to as close to 2,000 degrees as they can get.
331	The city is very favorable to accessory dwelling units.
332	Nightly Rentals – Development team to stay in communication with the city since
333	this is a current topic of discussion.
334	Radon mitigation if any of these homes have basements.
335	nadon magation i any of these nomes have basements.
336	Richard Bliss makes a motion to continue this item so that we can get the rest of the
337	information on this subdivision and the intention of the building envelopes and locations as
338	well as their progress with their CCR's and whatever else they need to function to meet the
339	requirements.
340	Kent Woolstenhulme seconds the motion.
341	All in favor
342	
343	6. Planner Items:
344	
345	None.
346	
347	7. Adjourn
348	·
349	Chairman Cliff Goldthorpe makes a motion to adjourn.
350	1-4
351	Minutes accepted as to form this day of 2024.
352	1. 1. () · ()
353	11/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1
354	(MM MIChus) Telson Clant
355	Cliff Goldthorpe, Chairman (Trist)n Leavitt, City Treasurer
356	
357	