1				
2	OAKLEY			
3				
4				
5	Draw			
6	EST.1898			
7	MINUTES			
8	September 25, 2024			
9				
10	Oakley City Council			
11	Regular Session 7:00 PM			
12	Oakley City Hall			
13	, ,			
14	Zoom Meeting Platform			
15	Meeting ID 820 258 4629			
16	Passcode 777869			
17	Anchor Location: 960 West Center Street, Oakley UT 84055			
18				
19	In Attendance:			
20	City Administration: Councilmembers: Joe Frazier, Kelly Kimber, Tom Smart, Steve Wilmoth, Dave Nef			
21	Mayor Zane Woolstenhulme - Absent			
22				
23	City Staff: City Recorder, Amy Rydalch.			
24				
25	Other City Administration: None.			
26				
27	Members of the Public: In Person: Katherine Cook, Kelly Jacobs, Ellen Thompson, Kaitlan Blacksher,			
28	Sherm Smoot, Kris Kellogg, Sherri, Smoot, Melissa Mcarther, Jodi McNulty, S. Frazier, Jan Pogue, Claytor			
29	Steward-Park Record, Lorraine Jones, Kymm Hansen, Rick Shapiro, Hayley Beckstead, Jared Beckstead,			
30	Dallas Brown, Nicole Brown, Ennis Gibbs, Tabitha Lazenby, David Kitchen, Howard Sorensen, Lisa Hall,			
31	ABC4 News. Via Zoom: Carla Wilmoth, Fox 13 News, "M", Krista Kelly, Emilar Tenar, Erin's iPhone.			
32				
33	 Councilmember Joe Frazier as Mayor Pro-Tem opened the meeting. 			
34	Invocation: Councilmember Dave Neff			
35	Pledge of Allegiance: Councilmember Kimber			
36				
37				
38	Mayor Pro-Tem exercised his authority to re-order the agenda and moved agenda items 3 & 4 to the			
39	first items for business.			
40				

41 3. CONSENT CALENDAR:

42

43 44

45

46

47 48

49 50

51

52 53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61 62

63

64 65

66 67

68 69

70 71

72 73

74 75

76 77

78

79 80

81

82

83

Councilmember Wilmoth motioned to approve the consent calendar. Councilmember Smart seconded the motion. All voted in favor. Consent Calendar approved.

4. WREATHS ACROSS AMERICA – Presentation/Request by Ennis Gibbs

Mr. Ennis Gibbs addressed the Council and the public in the room with his inspirational visit to the Harry Truman Presidential Library where he first encountered the Wreaths Across America program. He described the program's goal to place wreaths on every veteran's grave in America and the success of the first year of the program's implementation in Summit County. He asked the Council for a \$500 donation toward the purchase of wreaths, the purchase and placement of a ceremonial wreath for the Cemetery Veterans Memorial and eight supporting wreaths for the branches of armed forces. He asked for additional monies for commemorative flags and the purchase and display of a commemorative wreath at the City offices. Total donation request is \$776.00. Councilmember Smart asked about including information in the next City newsletter regarding the Wreaths Across America. Recorder Rydalch agreed that it could be included as well as social media posting.

Mayor Pro-Tem Frazier instructed the donation request to be placed on the consent calendar for the next meeting.

2. PUBLIC COMMENT: Mayor Pro-Tem addressed the Public and read the City's Official Statement regarding the potential sale of the Elk Meadows property into the record:

"The sale of the Elk Meadows property is a private transaction and as such, current ownership has the right to sell the property without input from the City. Under current zoning, the proposed business is an allowable use with a conditional use permit. The current business, Elk Meadows, operates under such a permit. State law dictates that this permit does not expire or cease validity with the sale of the property. Under the advisement of City legal counsel, the application is being processed as a minor amendment to the existing CUP. This is an administrative action (no formal City Council decision) where only reasonable conditions can be imposed. The City is working diligently to adhere to municipal, state, and federal regulations regarding this application. We are mindful of the vested public interest and thank you for sharing your thoughtful concerns."

Mayor Pro-Tem Frazier then reminded the public of the rules for participation in the public comment portion of the meeting.

Comments received for this portion of the meeting are taken directly from the transcript of the audio recording of this meeting.

David Kitchen, 650 West 4200 North, Oakley UT:

"Thank you, guys, for hearing us. I understand that obviously tonight is not about whether or not we can do anything tonight about it. Oh, sorry. Oh, I'm so sorry. My name is David kitchen. My address

as well. I live at 650 West, 4200 North Oakley, Utah, 84, 055, so once again, I understand that tonight, we are not on the agenda for an approval or a denial. We unfortunately, as citizenry, were not really a lot a lot of time to come up with rebuttals or information regarding the potential changes of Elk Meadows. As a fellow business owner, I respect their ability to sell their business as they please, and that is not why I'm here today. I first want to ask the councilmembers, did you by chance receive the memo from the city attorney that we had sent over about two and a half hours ago? No - ok so we have been represented by we have retained Matthew Muir from Anderson & Karrenberg not to stop the sale, but to hopefully help shed light on some legal inconsistencies that we as the citizenry have felt are not accurate. So I'd like to educate you guys on that today. I'm hoping that we don't have to have any bad feelings today, and we can remain civil and, you know, move forward with our proposed suggestions. So there's a couple of issues with the current situation at hand. So the city had given us a courtesy memo notifying that there was this potential switch in the conditional use permit or minor amendment as we have been researching. I do want to say thank you to Nicole Brown, who's in the back. She has really gone to work for this community. She's an expert in this field, actually, and it's funny because they're currently in in litigation with other treatment facilities for the same exact issue. Okay, so the concern that we have is that the city has proposed that there is a minor amendment to their current conditional use permits. A couple of things. So I do want to make some things noted real quick, and mention a few issues with this. So in Oakley City's code 13-5-7 under the conditional use section, in Section G, number one and two, it defines what a minor amendment is, and this is what our attorney is asking that you guys consider a minor amendment is defined as an amendment that does not increase the square footage, density, intensity and previously approved conditional use permit. A minor amendment may be approved by the city planner. No public hearing is required. Understandable. Okay, a major amendment is something that is on the opposite end of that. Okay, in this situation, per your guys' letter to this the community, they are asking to move from a 42-bed facility to a 56 bed, increasing density, increasing intensity, because of the additional waste, people, traffic, parking, food, all kinds of issues. "

111112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

84

85

86 87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

"Our attorney has outlined that there is also another conflict of, if you will, with the with the school that's potentially going across the street, the intensity that is required to be considered for having children across the street from a treatment facility. So under these guidelines, because the density and all of these other issues are being increased, this cannot be a minor amendment. This has to be a major amendment, which then does require public notice, public comment, and more intense measures to ensure that this is a good fit for our community. Okay. On a bigger side, the problem we are running into is that Elk Meadows is currently being ran under a residential care facility. Okay, under your city code, residential care facility is separate from residential treatment facility, which is what Chateau LLC would like to change to. So by changing the complete use, there are now tons of different regulatory issues that we are running into because residential care facilities come with different subsections of regulatory suggestions, as opposed to a residential treatment facility. So with that being said, our attorneys believe that it would be prudent to actually require Chateau LLC to apply for a new conditional permit, which would then require public notice to the neighbors affected. It would require public hearings. It would require deliberation. It would require impact

survey studies, anything that we, as the citizenry, feel is necessary, and especially you as the councilmembers of our of our great city, there are some bigger things that we are in consideration of that we can't really get into today just because of the short amount of time that we've had to prepare this. And we encourage everybody that is on this the council will read the brief that we have sent over from our attorneys and to understand the legality that we, that we are dealing with, and the potential risk the city is actually taking on by not following your own law. The major issue that we are running into is there is a concern, that is, you know, Nicole Brown can shed more light on for us. Is that there are treatment facilities that are utilizing the American Disability Act as a fear mongering tactic to encourage you guys to just send this through the city and approve Free Willy nilly. Unfortunately, anybody that is struggling per state, state law, and I can read that for you, anybody that has a substance abuse issue, and I'll just read it real quick...disabilities means a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of person's major life activities, including a person having a record of such an impairment or being regarded as having such an impairment. Disability does not include current illegal use of or addiction to any federally controlled substances, as defined in section 102 of the controlled substance act 21 and USC Section 802. So you know, unfortunately and as councilmembers, it's important that the city is not fear mongered into making decisions. And there are, there's legislation in front of our current, our state currently that is helping, is going to help us to fight against these, these fear mongering techniques, to get these type of facilities in. "

144145146

147148

149

150

151152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163164

165

166

167

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135 136

137

138

139

140 141

142

143

"Now, once again, in conclusion, I am a business owner. I respect the right to sell. This is one of the reasons why, as a citizen of this of the city, I have to recognize that if I'm going to do something, I must have something to do, and I must be able to do something that's meaningful, and that's not just screaming at you guys, you know, hoping that you're going to not follow your laws. And so me and my wife, we have, we concocted the plan that we would attempt to buy the facility from Chateau, offering them opportunities to sell it to us at a slightly higher rate, or to have us cover the liabilities of pulling out. Those are the things that I felt as a citizen, I could do as we have gone down this road. These attempts have obviously fell on deaf ears. We understand why. They're going to make a lot of money at it. But I don't give up, and none of these people behind me are going to give up. They're not. They don't want to be displaced. And so, in closing, it, the city is currently not following your own laws. This is not a minor amendment. This is not something that can be just passed through this easy. It is a complete change to the complete use and the intensity and the density of the use that is currently there. It's clear in your law, my hope is, is that your city attorney will be able to see my letter and advise you guys on the right thing to do. I really appreciate all of you guys and your willingness as you've taken my calls over the last couple of days to better understand the situation. As I live, I live on the street. I'm a I'm affected by this, and many of us that live on the street as well, and we do feel that we have basis here, that we have reason to believe. Anderson & Karrenberg is very adamant on this. They picked this up a couple hours ago, and they went ahead and wrote the brief for me immediately, because they felt so strongly, and they've been in situations where they've litigated against this, and they've won. And so we as a citizenry, would, would, would love for you guys to consider this and to table these issues for a later date. We are not asking you to just flat out deny things. We want you to follow your laws is what we want you to do.

And if we can table these things and have further legal research on this matter so we can understand the right way to go about it, so that way we can prepare ourselves for the future that would be greatly appreciated. Thank you."

170171172

173

174

168

169

Recorder Rydalch addressed Mr. Kitchen and informed him that the legal memo has not been received by the Council or by the Recorder prior to this meeting. She asked for clarification on the email address the document was sent to and provided the correct email address.

175 176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188 189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206207

208

209

Kris Kellogg, "six miles east up Weber Canyon" Oakley UT:

"My name is Khris Kellogg. I live up Weber Canyon, about six miles. Been here about 30 years. I am very familiar with how the city runs over these years, and I love it here. I don't think anybody that moves here doesn't love it. We're all here for the same reason, because it is a great place. I just want to be clear that nobody here, our residents, are against a treatment program. You know, we have Newport Academy. Nobody's against that, but we are against it across the street from our park, and we're certainly against it if you're still going to put a school on those 40 acres on the other side of it, you just you can't do it like you just can't do that. And so whatever this takes for us, we're not going to quit. We're just not and we have had people offering to pledge money and donate and donate and donate. People are willing to pay for an attorney. They're willing to do whatever. The demographics, if you're not familiar, in the next four years, there's 165 people just in Oakley city boundaries that become eligible for a place like Elk Meadows. Okay, as you know, it's the only place in Summit County. I mean, I understand you feel like you're behind a rock and a hard place kind of thing. But what are we doing, kicking out our own people and our own veterans to bring in other veterans. That doesn't make any sense. We have vets right here. We have them in the facility. I don't understand it. I don't even understand why. Ever. Apparently, the city code or the amendment was made in 2021 that was amended at that time, is what I'm being told, and what's recorded now. And at that time, I guess, what didn't quite get said to me, but was said enough, is that "kind of oops. We didn't we overlooked that, sorry, right? We overlooked that that might become a drug place sometime." And so, I'm sorry that we overlooked that as a city council, and we didn't think about it, but to put everybody now, including yourselves. I mean, you're all going to be eligible soon, right? I'm going to be eligible soon. I don't think any of us want to move out of here. And no -I'm calling you eligible. And you know, these are the thing that I realize elk Meadows has not necessarily been managed well over the years. I'm 100% behind that. And as far as I'm concerned, and the residents there are concerned, you know, they would like better care, for sure, but that can change. You know they do. They're under new management again, but at this point, this new manager is amazing. He has made 100% difference for the residents who love him, the staff love him, and the families really love him. I did get a verbal commitment out of him. I don't know if he'll stick to it, but that when we save the building, that he will stay. You know, they deserve the best care. I just, I don't understand what we're doing here. It doesn't make any sense at all, especially when we have so many again, not 165 people is just our boundary, right? That doesn't at all, taking Peoa, Marion, Francis, Kamas, Woodland, anybody else? That's just our neighborhood. So I don't know what you want to happen to those people, and maybe it's true, you haven't really thought that through, but

it's time. It's time to think it through, and it's time to do something different about it. These people are amazing. Of course, they all have awesome life stories. And you know, they're the reason I'm Here. My neighbor is Brenda Cannon right here. She lives up in Hidden Lakes with me, and she lost her daughter to suicide about eight years ago, and then her husband passed away a couple years ago, and then I have become a caretaker of her. She had a stroke in May and ended up in Elk Meadows. And quite honestly, I don't have time to drive anywhere else. You know, it's one of the greatest things about Elk Meadows, in my opinion, as I've become accustomed to it is the families and the volunteers there. It is run so much by families and volunteers. And we have some of those family members here tonight. They're there every day, and it's one of the things that makes Elk Meadows really great in this community. Of course, it can be better, and it needs to be better, the care and everybody's behind that, but you just can't do this. You just can't - it's the wrong thing. That's all I'm saying. It's not right, just do the right thing, please, for the sake of all of us who will be eligible sometime, Thank you.

Nicole Brown, 5320 North 750 West, Oakley UT:

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223224

225

226

227

228

229230

231232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239240

241

242

243

244245

246

247248

249

250

251

My name is Nicole Brown. I live at 5320 north, 750, West. I've been a resident of Oakley since August 20. So just we wanted to get involved right away. I was appointed by Governor Herbert to chair his advisory for special education. I do know quite a bit about ADA and IDA and the Utah Fair Housing Act. I've watched as lawyers have tried to bully their way into communities screaming ADA to have to allow these treatment centers. ADA like IDA were created to keep people from being institutionalized. So to see it as an argument to institutionalize people is quite the perversion of congressional code. In Wasatch County, the Wild Mare neighborhood is suing Cascade treatment center. The cease-and-desist letter was sent February 2022. The facility still sits empty today. There have been many documentaries that have put pressure on the state regarding these treatment centers. Teen Torture Inc, on HBO Max, the program on Netflix, has highlighted how these facilities are not being regulated at the state level. That is going to change. Mike McKell the Senator, and the brother-in-law of our governor is going to be our Senate sponsor on that bill. Carrie Ann Lazenby, who is the majority whip in the house, is going to be our house sponsor on that bill. We will be regulating these centers. This is not a slam dunk to make money that I think the investors think it will be, and I think it's a lot of wasted political capital for the five of you to allow this to go forward outside of your own city code where you, you know, are allowing for a minor change in the conditional use permit, even though it clearly doesn't fit the definition the increase of intensity and density is easily arguable. I have reached out to the Wild Mare community chair, who has stated that they're more than willing to fund 20 lawsuits to the city of Oakley to keep this from happening. My husband and myself will be pursuing a lawsuit as well to keep this facility from opening in the community. I know Mr. Kitchen had said the same thing. I just don't believe that this is what we want in the center of our small town. I moved to Oakley because I read Tocqueville's American democracy when I was 16 years old, and I thought that this is what he was defining, and this is what I wanted for my children. And a Drug and Alcohol Treatment Center while I think it is a an admirable thing to want to do. I think location matters. I don't think that's something that we want in the center of our town, and nor does ADA allow that they have to have the most accommodating circumstances for their facility. Are there other places that the facility would be better? Yes, clearly.

The lawsuit with the center and Heber is actually about to be going before our state Supreme Court. So, there's really a lot to this issue that I just wanted to make sure that you guys were aware of, because I think you have been grossly misrepresented on truly the circumstances that are going on behind the scenes regarding these issues. Thank you."

256 257

252

253

254

255

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

Ladine Atkinson, Resident of Elk Meadows:

"I don't have a lot to say, but I just want you to know my name is Ladine Atkinson, and I live at Elk Meadows, and I've been there three and a half years. I fell and broke my neck, and I've been there ever since, and I love it. It's home. I didn't think I'd ever say that after I left my home, but I have so many friends and so many family. We're just all family there, and I could move to Salt Lake. I have a son and his family that lives there. I could move to Wyoming. My daughter and her family lived there, up in Evanston, but I don't want to leave. I don't want to leave Rodney's family's here, and they're so good to me and I have been in Francis before I came here, I lived there 71 years, and it's just my home, And that's where I want to stay. Thank you."

266 Thank

267268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

Melissa McArthur, Kamas UT:

"I wasn't planning on saying anything today, but I just wanted to let you know that, oh, my name is Melissa MacArthur, and we brought my head up here eight years ago, sorry when my mother passed away. And me and my brother were taking care of him. And my brother lived in Highland, and then two years after that, so six years ago, in November, my only brother passed away, so it left me the sole caregiver of my father. And he is a Vet of the Korean War. If anyone knows him, he's the sweetest man. In fact, today he was trying to figure out how to sell his Mount Pleasant home that's worth \$350,000 to buy Elk Meadows so he could save his friends and his family there. Two years ago, it got too hard to take care of him on our own, with me and my husband Ryan. It was really hard to bring him to Elk Meadows, but it was so close, because I still have my son in high school, and so I teach preschool in the valley. I've been teaching for 15 years. I love the kids here. I taught a lot of Oakley kids. Some of you know I've taught your grandkids, and some of them call him grandpa. But anyway, I love the valley. It was really hard to bring him here, but it's only five minutes away. It has not been easy. It has been hard. There's been some rough days, and Sherry and I have made it our mission to come out here and to do what we can do, because it's so important to have them so close. Because I do preschool in the morning, I run out here for lunch, till 230 I run home till Logan is there. He's my junior this year, and then he gets ready for football, and then I get ready for the next day and do the whole thing over again. I'm just begging you do what you can, do what is right, and to try to help them stay here, because it's really messing up my schedule if you don't. I won't be able to fit it all in. And I don't have much time left with him.

He's 93 so, you know, I'll take every minute that I can. Thank you."

288 289

290 291

292

293

Sherm Smoot, Kamas UT:

"I'm Sherm Smoot. I live in Kamas. My mother in law's been- if you want the address, it's one, 170, West, 440, north. I live across the street from the MacArthur so but- my mother in law's been there

for about two and a half years. As I've observed, this whole thing, trying to take the emotion out of it, when they bought that it came with a package of three other, I guess, other facilities, and it was right before covid. There's been a number of things that had happened-covid hit, they could not get any relief, because there's Some law that say states you're the deal was you couldn't own property while it was you couldn't get money from covid to help. So that put those guys back. They had a major roof that apparently it cost over a million dollars to fix everything, and it became harder and harder and harder for that seller to make money. And they were going on a shoestring. And I know that for a fact, because I know what we've done to, and my wife has lived over there to try to make things work. It never went on the market. So, but some guy comes and calls and says, Look, I'm going to offer this deal to you. And it was like a relief. He was desperate. They -desperate people make desperate decisions. And he wasn't able to look at the holistic, part of that, looking at the whole community. And it's really based on you guys looking at this and passing, passing, whatever it is. But if there is any out that you can find here, which apparently Mr. Kitchens and his attorneys, he, he told me about these things. There's, like, a number of things, and we need to take advantage of that and looking at this whole thing on a holistic basis, that is what we need in our community. Tom, you're going to be there, probably along with me and a few other people. So please do all you can, because you've got a lot of people behind me that you don't even see that are willing to step up and do stuff now that it's like, oh my gosh, we're going to lose this. It's the only one in Summit County, I understand. So let's do what we can. I know you guys have great hearts, and if there's way out of this, do it. If there isn't, I guess you got to go by the law. But it sounds to me like you have opportunity here. And the seller's a great guy. I mean, he doesn't mean to create mischief, and I know."

315316317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328 329

330

331

332

333

334

335

294

295

296

297

298 299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

"And the other thing is this, I work with drug rehabilitation a lot. I do. I volunteer at the jail every week, doing meetings. I just got, I just left Salt Lake jail. I'm a realtor at the same time as a rancher and, but I've been I was hired or represent John Volcom, who got the Dalai Lama award for putting together facilities that are for drug rehabilitation. And his deal is he put \$100 million up of his own money to help people. He knows that drug rehabilitation, it takes a good year for your a mind of a drug addict to get to 80% of where it normally is, and it takes another four years to get it pretty much whole. And that's doing a lot of things, but this facility they're talking about is, I asked. The guy 45 days is the average stay, and you're going through 50. He wants 50, whatever, 50. And I'm telling you, I know. I know what it's like drug addicts. They it. They need long term care and support. John Volcom and these other outfits they commit. And I watch this with judges, they say, if they're down, there they go, hey, we'll, we'll let you out of jail, or we'll let you go, but you got to be in a program for two years committed. It's a big deal. And but we're talking 45 days, rolling guys through and I, I do meetings. I've been in the drug addiction missionary for the Church for 13 years. And that type of facility, under that situation, it concerns me. It concerns me. But when you have a facility where people are going to be there for years to get healed, and they're being watched. It's that that's the kind of thing you want, that that is not supposed to be that in my in my estimation, my gut feeling, that is for retire, you know, for, for what we got here. So anyway, please, please, do the best you can. I appreciate it. I'm sorry you have to be put in this position. And I think looking back, the seller would have gone, why didn't I just put this on the market? Tell people I'm suffering. He's losing

money. He's not making money because he's had, you know, one, two to three, four, five strikes and he's just going, I need a break. But it can make money for somebody. It can. And if we support it, we get behind it as a community, whether we can make this thing work. And I'm, I'm there a lot. My wife's there every day, and it's, it's a great place. And so thank you. Appreciate it."

Roger Gellerson, Kamas UT:

Good evening. My name is Roger Gellerson. I'm a Kamas resident, and I just wanted to tell you a little bit about my story. I don't have a lot in the world of legalese to go and discuss with you, but my mother's been a resident of Elk Meadows for seven years. We chose Elk Meadows because we live in Kamas and we can visit daily and take care of our aging parents. Many of you in this room are doing that, or have done it, or get will get the opportunity to do it, and I'm here to tell you, it will challenge you on every aspect of it. To try and provide a safe environment for my mother was my goal. Elk Meadows has gone through a lot of change, some good, some not so good. We've had good leadership and poor leadership, but the one thing it did provide for me was knowing there was a safe place for my mother in a community that mattered and a community of people that supported her when I wasn't able to visit. And that's what that community is at Elk Meadows. It is the word family. This is also an interesting issue for me as a person. I'm a 35-year first responder. I work in the ski business. I'm one of the managers at Park City Mountain Resort. In that tenure, I have lost colleagues to alcoholism. I have lost colleagues to bad choices around drug use. I've lost colleagues even in the most heinous way of suicide, and literally been in the room after. I do understand a need for that type of rehabilitation, and I'm thankful that, in the greater sense, there are things out there now for us in that space, but Elk Meadows is not the right place for this. Elk Meadows is a place for this community, the parents and the grandparents of everyone that's living here to be able to spend time. We chose that facility because you have a look at the mountains, you don't feel trapped in that facility. It has a little bit of an open-air feeling, and we are very grateful to have had that opportunity. I really would like to be able to keep my parent there, moving her at her advanced age, is it might change the outcome quicker. I would like you to please consider what's right, what's doing right for the most people and the people of this community. Thank you."

Dallas Brown, 5320 North 750 West Oakley UT:

"My name is Dallas Brown. I live at 5320 north, 750, West in Oakley. I've been here a little over a month. What I do for a living is fight government bureaucracy and bad decisions. Okay? But I want you guys to know if any of you guys are against this, the community, we can support you, and we will support the right decision. In the city code, you have the right, as the Planning Commission to review any conditional use permit at any time and revoke it. Okay, that is your decision, and you can do that. As Mr. Kitchen stated, these are two different zone districts, as per the chart in the city code, care facilities and treatment facilities are separate zone districts, and they require a broader look of the impact on the community when we switch from different zone districts, right? The city has put them in different districts for a reason. When, when these treatment centers come in, as the man before he was talking, they have a 17% success rate, 17% okay. And there are multiple police reports and lawsuits about how drug use is still continued in these facilities. And just that alone should designate the city needs to do an impact study, because there is not infrastructure in the city

to take care of that influx. So I would implore you to move this to a major amendment, or have them move to a major amendment, because this definitely increases the intensity, as per city code, of a mind of what this amendment to the conditional use permit is. Thank you."

Sheri Frazier, Marion UT:

Sheri Frazier. Marion, Utah. Thank you for all listening to all of us for taking consideration. I never thought one month ago I would have placed one of my neighbors, who doesn't have family, in Elk Meadows and thank heavens that place is there and there yet another hurdle attacks us so what do I do? Now? As you already know, this isn't the whole community that's behind us, and I think each one of you are appreciative of what community we have. We can't let that go. There's other people that have already stood out who want to stand up and help, and there's other people that are behind the scenes, also standing out and wanting to help in places that they can. And I think we all need to stand for what is right, following your missions, your values and your vision. 20 years ago, the city council and the mayor fought. They didn't want an elderly place there. They finally allowed it, and now we're looking at taking that away. And we don't need that. We don't need the I'm not saying the rehab center is wrong. Neither is anybody else. It's not for our valley. Why are we kicking out 42 beds to put in his 16 and add more? It's a money transaction, transit transaction we don't need in our community. And quite honestly, where are those people going? If you were in their shoes or their family, how would you feel right now? They're worried. They're concerned. Try to be in our shoes to keep them consoled right now. We need you to find, as you've already been asked, every little thing that you can help us to stop what has been asked of you. Don't approve, please don't approve the permit. Take these wise people's advice that have already gone through and helped you see codes attorneys that are helping you see this and find a way to deny it. Let the come to you with other amendments, but do not approve what you rightfully feel and know is wrong. Thank you."

Rick Shapiro, Oakley UT:

"I'm not here on that issue. As a keen observer of the political process, I was very impressed with the tenor and the respect that the speakers showed. And while I was listening, I was doing my own research on artificial intelligence, and they seem to have a pretty good argument when it comes to major amendment versus minor amendment, but that's just a lay opinion. But the reason I did come here, I unfortunately missed the public hearing. I guess it was last week or two weeks ago on the green burial, but I had the opportunity to talk to a number of people who were there, and I heard some relatively disconcerting things about the I guess, the tenor of the of the input that was offered. Again, you know, I operate from a bit of a deficiency here, because I wasn't there. But what I was told was that there was a lot of fear mongering, and a lot of misinformation provided, you know, I don't have, I don't have a real, you know, strong position on this issue. I understand, you know, there's, there's very good arguments for, I've heard, you know, even some decent arguments against it. But when I hear, you know, the campaign against it being driven by fear and misinformation. I think it's incumbent on each of you, you know, to make the decision, ultimately, based on facts and the truth and science. You know, there are things you know, some of the some of the fear mongering as an example, you know bears and badgers digging up bodies. That's knowable.

And you know the same with water, as I understand it, there will be a study done. So you all need to rely on facts and good scientific information when you make decisions like this, and not listen to the loudest voices in the community and sometimes the rudest voices in the community. And, you know, I think that's, you know, if you guys aren't willing to do that, maybe, you know, maybe this isn't the place for you to be as council members, because I think that's so important, particularly in the political environment that we live in in this country right now, where fear mongering and misinformation seems to be a very hot commodity, at least on one side of the aisle, on one side of the aisle, we can talk about that later, But that's basically all I had. I just, I hope, you know, I hope you guys make the decision on, you know, for the right reasons and on the right information, not on the loudest noises in the community.

Thanks, thanks."

Mayor Pro-Tem Frazier asked for any further comment and upon receiving none and the implications of legal action received during the public comment portion of the meeting refrained from commenting and moved on to agenda item #5.

5. ORDINANCE TO DISCUSS MOTOR VEHICLES PROHIBITED ON TRAILS AND PUBLIC PATHWAYS – 2nd Reading:

Recorder Rydalch displayed the draft document for council to review as they discuss possible changes to the draft ordinance. Councilmembers discuss the classification of e-bikes and the need for clear regulations to ensure safety. Howard Sorensen addressed the council and expressed concerns about the potential dangers of high-speed e-bikes on trails, especially when mixing with horses and pedestrian traffic. Councilmembers discussed age restrictions for different classes of e-bikes and further application to the complex and skatepark area. Council decided to revise the draft to prohibit all e-bikes on single track trails except for riders 55 and older or those riders that are mobility impaired. Those specified exempted riders may utilize Class 1 or 2 E-bikes or the modified mobility bike on single track trails. It was also decided to post signage of trail courtesy rules on more of the Oakley Trails due to the increase in multi-use users. Draft ordinance will be brought back for a 3rd reading and then noticed for public hearing.

6. CELEBRATION COMMITTEE STRUCTURE DISCUSSION

 Councilmember Frazier distributed a handout with a possible structure for a Celebration Committee. He proposed having a celebration committee with the councilmembers as the executive committee with each councilmember assigned two to three areas of responsibility for the celebration. That Councilmember is responsible for recruiting persons to manage and produce that aspect of the Celebration. Councilmembers will provide assistance, oversight and coordination. Councilmembers discussed possible structure and agreed to bring more thought back at the next meeting to discuss modifications or next steps.

462	7.	COUN	CILMEMBER/DEPARTMENT REPORTS AS NEEDED:
463		a.	Councilmember Frazier informed the Council of an update requested from Dallas
464			Hansen regarding the Humbug chlorination project. Public Works is still awaiting bids
465			for the concrete work. They will be installing the building and Aqua Environmental and
466			Total Power and Control will be doing the installation of all the components. Concerned
467			that we are behind schedule.
468		b.	City Recorder Rydalch
469			i. Schedule Public Hearing for Conservation Burial – need to push public hearing
470			to November. Councilmembers will not be available for October meetings.
471			ii. Nieman Proposal - scheduled for October 9th meeting.
472			iii. List of priorities for Policies - asked the council to prioritize which policies they
473			would like to address first or revisit and revise. They are to send their lists to
474			the recorder over the next couple of weeks.
475		C.	Councilmember Tom Smart is submitting the RAP tax application this next week for
476			bridge work in the Franson Park and for a larger gazebo at the pickleball courts.
477			
478	Mayo	r Pro-Ter	n Frazier asked for a motion to enter closed session due to potential litigation concerns.
479			
480	Counc	ilmembe	er Smart motioned to go into closed session due to potential litigation concerns.
481	Counc	ilmembe	er Neff seconded the motion. All voted in favor.
482			
483	9:55 P	M Coun	cil went into closed session.
484	10:44	PM Adjo	urnment.
485			
486			ath
487	Αŗ	oproval is	to form thisday of2024.
488			
489			
490	ė	No C	Moun la no
491	-	you !	rasil Line of the last of the
492	Jo	♥ Frazier	, Mayor Pro-Tem Amy Rydalch, City Recorder