

time of the original public hearing regarding the City Center North development and to
ensure that all intended property for development in the City Center North has been
property noticed and meets the requirements of law for disposition of city real property
these small areas were included in the public hearing for this meeting.

b. Portion of Parcel RVE-1-X: Portions of the complex parcel located off of New Lane Road

- b. **Portion of Parcel RVE-1-X:** Portions of the complex parcel located off of New Lane Road and the east entrance to the complex and a portion of the land behind the bucking chutes/outdoor arena that has been leased to Tiny Woolstenhulme.
- c. Portion of Parcel OT-270-X: North West portions of the parcel off of Boulderville Road is under consideration for a conservation easement which may or may not involve consideration of conservation burial.

After explaining the time limitation of three minutes and a request for decorum and civility, Mayor Woolstenhulme opened the Public Hearing.

Comments received for this portion of the meeting are taken directly from the transcript of the audio recording of this meeting.

Stacy Kaminer, 1000 East 5200 North, Oakley UT: "What is Summit Land Conservancy offering Oakley for the protection of those lands. And since right now, the big piece is part of the municipality, which I understand it being part of the municipality, allows for cemeteries, if Summit Land Conservancy is actually in control of that and not the city of Oakley, then does that automatically transfer to them, or do they have to go through a public hearing process in order to pursue what they want to put on that land, and what studies have been done with regard to that, just because of the fact that the new wells are like right above it, and as you said, the water shed areas right next to it, and the river runs right by it, and also that aquifers on that land.

Mayor Woolstenhulme addressed Ms. Kaminer that these type of questions regarding the potential use of City land for conservation burial would be addressed in future City Council meetings and an open house to be held in the future regarding the proposal from Summit Land Conservancy.

Dick Woolstenhulme, 4200 North 975 West, Oakley UT: "My concern is, on any of that property that you're thinking about selling, is it going to be appraised and put out to the public for anybody to bid on, and the other the property over there to the rodeo grounds, was bought for the celebration and the rodeo production. And if you go selling that off down the road, you may regret it when we don't have any place to put in stock."

Mayor Woolstenhulme addressed Mr. D. Woolstenhulme that the city is required by city code to receive at least fair market value.

Blake Frazier, 4951 North 50 West, Oakley UT: "I see no reason to be dealing with Summit Land Conservancy on the property up the canyon. The City owns it. We control its destiny. We don't need to put it in a conservation easement. The property up where the springs are is already in a water preserve. You can't do anything up there already. You've got that in place years ago, but it doesn't make sense to me to put a third party somewhat in charge of our own property, and it doesn't make sense to me to sell that property up there until the city knows where it's going in the next 20 or 30 years. That's a valuable piece of property, and to parse meal it out does not make any sense. As far as the property down at the park, I can understand the one little parcel up in the corner, maybe good industrial property, but the other larger piece of the property, as Dick said, that was brought of for possible sale years ago and the rode committee through an absolute fit, because they needed it for livestock. And that that's the intent of that parcel, and I think it needs to stay where it is.

Kelly Edwards, 246 West 4400 North, Oakley UT: "just down the stream for this green burial with a 300 foot well. I'm nervous about, I don't know that the studies have been done enough. I'm completely against what's happening to Oakley. Why this has been turned down, I believe by the City Council in the past, I might be wrong, but I think the City Council has already rejected this offer, and I don't think enough study done, and I just think it's kind of not right so close (to)the river, and I'm not against cemetery, but let's put them on the hill and out of the way. The Conservancy has had a lot handed to them in the last few years. I think enough is enough.

Rebecca Roberts, 883 Pinion Lane, Oakley UT: "Good evening. My name is Rebecca Roberts. I live at five, 833, pinion lane, and I'm wondering if the point of this piece of property is to protect it and conserve it. We, I agree. Don't need the summit Land Conservancy. We can do it ourselves."

Bonnie Jones, 400 West 4400 North. Sorensen Lane, Oakley UT: "I think you all already know how I feel about this based on the email I sent you, but (on) further reflection, I don't understand. I would like to know what's prompting you to make a sale. I would like to understand why you would want a third party controlling something that Oakley already owns. It makes no sense to me that you would do that, and I also don't understand why you're so intent on a green cemetery. It's my understanding that this was also targeted at the Franson Lane property and was shot down. And so I I don't understand what, why you're so intent on making that happen. I also think that we live at the base of the Uintas. We have all of the nature that we need, and I don't think that we need another place set aside for us to commune with nature.

Stacy Kaminer, 1000 East 5200 North, Oakley UT: "I asked a question, but do I still get three minutes? Okay? Thank you. My question is, sorry. Stacy Kaminer, 1000 east. 5200 North. My comments are, this has definitely been circulating. The same players were trying to buy the land to the other side of where I am, and I'm sort of squished between the Oakley piece and the piece they were trying to buy for the same green cemetery reason, and it was summit Land

Conservancy and the same mortuary and the same players, and it's like it died, and then it keeps coming back. I know that's not meaning to be upon, and I also don't understand, because Oakley can protect the land as much as they want, but I get that there would be an exchange of money and that could be the driving force, but that seems like it doesn't make sense at this point. And also, there is that aquifer that is directly related to the nationally protected aquifer up in the Uinta's. And so does the federal government have a say in this. Because of that, it's linked to the same water source. Thank you."

Sam Aplanalp 4230 North Meadow Lane, Oakley UT: "I serve on the rodeo committee, and I have thoughts on these other parcels, but here tonight, I just echo probably what dick and Blake both said. The Rodeo is evolving and growing, and it's bigger, and this year, it's going to be bigger and better than ever. We're going to have some of the best grand marshals we've ever had. They're over there -but, well, we have previous grand marshals here too, but at any rate, no, the rodeo is growing, and there will absolutely be a need. We're already busting at the seams for that land, especially around the arena and the other parcels. I know you guys are good and you'll do the right thing, but I just encourage you to just absolutely make sure we go through the right process and hold as many public hearings as necessary to educate people and really get the information out there as to the ins and outs of all these exchanges, what's involved, What the pros and cons are. Thank you."

Jan Perkins, former resident of Oakley City: " | lived in Oakley for 21 years. | would invite Oakley city to put all this information that you, mayor, presented tonight, on the website, so those of us who are citizens can see very clearly what's going on and why, and I haven't heard yet why Oakley city would be interested in selling one of our prime assets on Main Street, Center Street, at market value. Will people, Oakley residents, 50, 100 years from now be glad that you did that? I don't think so. It's a critical two pieces. So why not maintain the control of our city center by owning the land? It makes sense to me. It doesn't make sense to lease for 99 years at market value without taking (into account) the inflation and insurance, things like that. That was done on the parking lot for the diner. I would, I actually really support Summit Land Conservancy. They're forward thinking. They are thinking 50 100 years from now, and they buy development rights, and we've all seen cities and counties fail in protecting open space, so they would protect that area in perpetuity. I don't know if the cemetery is part of this deal or not. That hasn't been clarified to me, at least, and from this discussion tonight, I think you've heard me say before, I think we let the genie out of the bottle prematurely for Oakley City Center. We didn't have a land use map. We didn't have a land use plan that the citizens really wanted to see. We let a developer decide what we wanted, and I think it's a little bit backwards, or quite a bit backwards. So hold on to the city assets and in the city center so you have a say, because Snyderville happened because of a whole lot of lawsuits to get what they wanted. Property rights prevail in this state. If you sell a property that owner has way more rights, and if they sue Oakley city into oblivion to get what they want, then we're all lost. So in the interest of the citizens of Oakley, please hold on, especially to the city center properties and also the rodeo

grounds, at least the big one that these gentlemen were talking about. That makes sense. I don't know about the the cemetery, but I like land preservation. It makes sense. Thank you.

167 168 169

170

171

172

173

174

175176

177

166

Tiny Woolstenhulme 655 Boulderville Road, Oakley UT: "Ditto to most of what's been said by Blake and Dick and some of these about especially the property, up by this, up by the water tanks and stuff like that. Why we the city can't control that and do what they want with that. Also city center. I understand it needs- if the city's going to grow or change, it's got to have a place to do it, but I think it's important that that gets planned out and done right. And I you know that's going on a long time. I don't know where it's going. I think the biggest thing, probably a lot of us would like to know, is, why are we doing this? That's my biggest question. Is some somebody brought up something, somebody should expect interest, but I think it's fair. Would be fair to the citizens to say this is a regional thinking of doing it, and I don't think we've heard anything about why. So I think that needs to be answered before this goes too far."

178179180

181

182

183

184 185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

Doug Evans 5053 New Lane, Oakley UT: "I just wanted to comment on the city property up at up at Pinion. There's 140 acres up there that is incredibly valuable to the city. The City Council, many years ago, made an incredible decision to acquire that, and it wasn't only to acquire the land. [And you may have been involved,] it had a sizable amount of water rights with it as well from the Seymour property, and that has been an incredible asset for the city. I for one totally favor the protection of that by partnering with the Land Conservancy. It's not like the Land Conservancy is taking this from the city. They're establishing a conservation easement over it to protect it from future city councils that we don't know or have no idea who they could be, but it protects that from being sold for development purposes. The Land Conservancy has worked very well with the city over the years on a lot of property along the river, and protecting the river from development. I mean, you can just see what's happened over many years, there's hundreds of acres. They've been protected along the Weber. The other thing is, I know the green cemetery, the first thing I get calls going, well, that's going to ruin our water. That's not a green cemetery, when you read the studies on it, it has virtually no effect on groundwater there. There's, I mean, the land itself kind of takes care of itself that way, it's a very high and dry piece of property. There's also a fault that goes along the Pinion Road. It goes north, north and south that separates the formation- the Woodside formation that underlies where the cemetery is from, the formations that we get the well water from. And I'd love to talk more in detail about water stuff in the future, but the water that feeds our wells comes from the Uinta mountains, from the east, not from the West, and it's not really local, but when you get on the other side of Pinion that's really important to protect that. And we know that there's a dividing line in there that that's like a dam that protects -prevents water from moving through it. As Blake maybe remembers, years ago, we drilled a well on the wrong side of the of the road and hit nothing, not a drop of water. But we go on the east side of the road, we hit 2500 gallons a minute. And it's, it's, if you just understand a little bit about the geology, the cemetery would have no effect on the well water in that area and at some point, I hope you can reveal in the workshops you were talking about, having the cemetery is a huge revenue source for the city. The city doesn't

207 lose control of these things, they just have a partner to protect them from being developed and 208 there's a lot of money that can be made from this type of a cemetery. So thanks Amen. 209 210 Mayor Woolstenhulme thanked everyone for their participation and closed the public hearing. 211 212 213 3. 2024 AMENDED BUDGET PREVIEW AND 2025 PROPOSED BUDGET PREVIEW: 214 Mayor Woolstenhulme asked Recorder Rydalch to present both the 2024 amended budget 215 preview and the 2025 proposed budget preview. 216 217 Recorder Rydalch presented the Council with a PowerPoint presentation that showed the 218 sources of revenue received by the City and the percentages each of those sources represented 219 in the overall revenues for the City and by fund. She discussed the different types of tax 220 revenues, compared year over year rental revenues from city buildings, utility revenues and 221 grant monies received. She reviewed with the Council overall expenditures and significant items 222 that were paid for in 2024 and planned for in 2025. She reviewed the debt of the City and the 223 debt service requirements going forward for the new well financing. 224 225 Mayor Woolstenhulme reminded the Council that the Public Hearings for both the 2024 226 amended budget and the proposed 2025 budgets are scheduled for the June 18th, 2024 – one 227 week from this meeting. 228 229 4. MAYORS REPORT: 230 a. Received confirmation that Oakley City will have four bridges replaced under the 231 Federal Infrastructure bill that was passed by Congress. Project is estimated at \$9.6 232 million and is being completed under the Bridge Replacement Program with UDOT. 233 Planning and design will commence. Construction is anticipated in 2026/2027. 234 b. Stevens Grove will be having the annual assessment by the Summit Land Conservancy 235 where the state of the property is examined. Mayor invited any councilmembers that 236 had an interest to attend the site visit. 237 c. Wadsworths have been approached by the City to possibly grant an easement for a 238 future water tank for the City. They are considering the proposition.

239

240

241

242243244

245

246

247

248

5. INDEPENDENCE DAY CELEBRATION ITEMS:

member of the study team.

Mayor Woolstenhulme asked each member of Council to report on their areas of responsibility for the Celebration.

d. CSL who is performing the arena expansion study will attend the 4th of July Celebration

to observe the event. City has agreed to lodging and airfare expenses to host one

Councilmember Wilmoth reported that the Rodeo Committee has been meeting weekly and things are on schedule. There will be upcoming work nights at the arena to get the event

249	space set-up. Discussion about using the City's texting app to solicit assistance from the
250	community.
251	Councilmember Neff: Nothing to report
252	Councilmember Frazier: Patriotic Program is ready
253	Councilmember Smart: Agreed to assist with chickens for the Jr. Rodeo, reported on
254	Pickleball registration and readiness.
255	Councilmember Kimber: Has been asked to assist Karylyn and potentially with
256	Hospitality.
257	
258	Some general discussion regarding some spot flooding from irrigation ditches.
259	
260	Councilmember Wilmoth motioned to go into closed session for reasons cited in the Utah Code
261	Annotated 52-4-205(a) Councilmember Smart seconded the motion. All voted in favor. Council
262	entered closed session.
263	
264	8:20 PM Council entered closed session.
265	
266	Meeting adjourned.
267	a =
268	Approval is to form this 13 day of November, 2024.
269	
270	Melan 10
271	N/100 1/1/
272	Mark Rubylee Selling
273	Zane Woolstenhulme, Mayor Amy Rydalch (Qity Recorder ()